## IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appn. No.: 10/676,433

Applicant: Sandeep K. Gopisetty, et al.

Filing Date: September 30, 2003

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR AUTONOMICALLY ZONING STORAGE

AREA NETWORKS BASED ON POLICY REQUIREMENTS

TC/A.U.: 2454

Examiner: Michael E. Keefer
Docket No.: ARC920030047US1

Mailed: December 4, 2008

at Newark, CA

## RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT

Commissioner of Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This paper is submitted in response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (hereafter Notice) mailed October 24, 2008, a copy of which is attached hereto.

The Examiner asserts that the Applicant inadvertently omitted a response to the rejections of claim 1 and 7 under 35 USC Section 103(a) over Hsieh in view of Peloquin.

The Applicant's response to this basis for rejection follows below.

Page 1 of 3

Docket No. ARC920030047US1

Response

The Examiner has rejected claims 1 and 7 under 35 USC Section 103(a) over Hsieh in

view of Peloquin.

The Applicant disagrees with this basis for rejection because the combination proposed

by the Examiner does not create the Applicant's claimed invention,

Specifically, the applicant has carefully reviewed the Examiners rejections and humbly

states, as will be explained below, that the features of zones controlling network visibility

between devices of alleged prior art identified by the Examiner are not the same as the features

claimed by the Applicant.

Applicant humbly states that the prior art attributes are used to generate zone policy as

per the present invention. Further Applicant points out how the devices in SAN are checked to

determine their relationships and of hosts which are all of type system and satisfy the criteria of

policy a zone is created.

Applicant in the FIG. 4 of his invention describes a method of generating a zone plan,

according to an exemplary embodiment of the invention. He explains the method of generating

zone plan begins and how the relationships between devices in SAN are verified. The policy includes each storage device of type host is given its own zone, is applied then each device in

SAN is checked to determine whether it is of type host system. For example Host1, Host2 and

Host3 are all of type host system and satisfy the criteria of the policy. Accordingly, a zone is autonomically created which includes Hostl, SS1 (due to the storage relationship) along with

some ports (so as to capture all the ports in the storage relationship). No zone is created for

Host2, because it does not have any storage relationship and we refrain from creating single-entry

zones. With regards to Host3, a new zone is autonomically created which includes Host3, SS1

Page 2 of 3

(due to the storage relationship) and along with the intermediate ports (due to the storage

relationship).

Furthermore, the applicant has carefully reviewed the assertions made by Peloquin and

humbly states, as will be explained below, that the features of alleged prior art identified by the

Examiner are not the same as the features claimed by the Applicant.

Applicant respectfully points out that zone plan generator applies the appropriate policy

to the storage device and add the device to existing zones or to allocate a new zone for the

device. Once the storage device is identified with a zone, then all storage devices that have a

storage relationship with this storage device are grouped into the zone. Size of the zone is an

attribute specified by the system administrator and it is not obvious for whom skilled in the art when compared with the zoning concept discussed by Peloquin. Thus Peloquin teaches away

from using granularity as a zoning policy or a practice.

Applicant invites the Examiner to contact the Applicant's representative for a telephonic

interview if so doing would expedite the prosecution of the application.

For the above reasons, the Applicant submits that the pending claims 1 and 7 are

patentable over the prior art. Applicant respectfully request allowance of these claims.

Very respectfully submitted,

Gregory Scott Smith GSS Law Group 3900 Newpark Mall Rd Third Floor, Suite 317 Newark, CA 94560 Reg. No. 38,309 Phone (510) 742-7417 Fax (510) 742-7419

Response to Non-Compliant Amendment mailed October 24, 2008 Serial Number: 10/676.433